# A NOTE ON THE RELATIVE LOSS OF INFORMATION IN CONFOUNDED DESIGNS

#### By

#### B. N. TYAGI

# Institute of Agricultural Research Statistics, New Delhi (Received in August, 1969)

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Kshirsagar (1957) has presented a very elegant proof of the well-known property of the confounded designs that the total relative loss of information in a confounded design is always one less than the average number of blocks per replication. He has, however, restricted his results to the case of equi-replicated designs. The purpose of this note is to generalise the results to a general incomplete block binary design specified by the parameters  $v_{,b}, k_{1,b}, k_{2,...,k_{b}}, r_{1,b}, r_{2,...,r_{p}}$ .

#### 2. Results

Following Kshirsagar (1957), let  $N=(n_{ij})$  be the incidence matrix, where  $n_{ij}=1$ , if the *i*-th treatment occurs in the *j*-th block, otherwise  $n_{ij}=0$ . Let  $Q_i$  be the adjusted yield and  $t_j$  be the effect of the *i*-th treatment. Then.

$$E(Q) = ct$$

(1)

where Q and t denote the column vector  $(Q_1, \ldots, Q_v)$ and  $(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_v)$ 

respectively and

 $C = Dig(r_1, \ldots, r_v) - NDig(1/k_1, 1/k_2, \ldots, 1/k_b)N'.$ 

Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_s$  be the *s* non-zero characteristic roots of matrix *C*. If  $l_u$  is column vactor and  $l_u't$  ( $u=1, 2, \ldots, s$ ) is estimable, then  $l_u = Cm_u$ , where  $m_u$  is a column vector. In a randomised block design with  $\Sigma k_i$  experimental units equally shared by the *v* treatments, the variance of the best estimate of  $l_u't$  is

where

$$\overline{r} = \sum_{j=1}^{b} k_j / v.$$

The corresponding variance of the estimate of  $l_u't$  in the confounded design with reduced normal equation (1) is  $m_u' Cm_u \sigma^2$ . Hence the relative information on  $l_u't$  is given by

$$\mathbf{R}.\mathbf{I}.=\boldsymbol{l}_{u}'\boldsymbol{l}_{u}/\boldsymbol{r}\boldsymbol{m}_{u}'\boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{m}_{u} \qquad \dots(3)$$

Kshirsagar (1957) has futher shown that by suitably choosing  $m_{u_1}$  it can be easily shown that

$$\sum_{u=1}^{s} l_{u}' l_{u} / m'_{u} c m_{u} = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \lambda_{i}$$

Hence

ł

Total R I = 
$$1/r \sum_{i=1}^{s} \lambda_i$$

Where s < v-1 is the number of estimable contrasts. But in a design

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} \lambda_{i} = \text{trace } C = \sum_{i=1}^{v} r_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{v} \sum_{j=1}^{b} n_{ij}^{2}/k_{j}$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{b} k_{j} - b \qquad \dots (4)$$
$$= v r - b$$

Hence the total relative information on s contrasts is given by

$$v - b/r$$
 ...(5)

which gives the total loss of information as

$$(v-1) - \left( \begin{array}{c} v - \frac{b}{r} \end{array} \right)$$
$$= (b/\bar{r}) - 1$$
$$= \frac{vb}{b} - 1.$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\sum k_{i}} b_{i}$$

...(O

## 3. EXAMPLE

In a qualitative-cum-quantitative experiment, the number of replications for the dummy treatments is generally more than those of other treatments combinations. For example, consider the experiment which has all possible combinations of 3 levels (0, 1, 2) and 3 qualities (0, 1, 2) of nitrogen and 2 levels of  $P_2O_5(0, 1)$ . The design partially confounding Q and NQ is given in Table 1.

	Replication I	Replication II	
Block I	Block II Block III	Block I Block II	Block III
$\begin{array}{c} n  q  p \\ 0  -  0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	n q p . 0 0
0 — 1	0 - 1   1 - 1	0 - 1 0 - 1	0 — 1
<b>12</b> 0	100110	1 1 0 1 2 0	I 0 0
121	0 0 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 2 1	1 0 1
2 1 0	2 2 0 2 0 0	2 2 0 2 0 0	2 1 0
2 1 1	2 2 1 2 0 1	2 2 1 2 0 1	2 1 1

TABLE 1

## $3 \times 3 \times 2$ qualitative-cum-quantitative experiments

On analysing the above design by fitting of constants by the method of least squares, it is found that the loss of information on each of 2 df. of Q is 1/6 and that on each of 2 df. of NQ is 1/2. Hence the total loss of information is 4/3. From (6) the total loss of information also works out to

$$\frac{14 \times 6}{3b} - 1 = 4/3$$

where v is the number of distinct treatments.

#### REFERENCE

Kshirsagar, A.M. (1957) : A note on the total relative loss of information in any design. Cal. Sta. Ass. Bull., 7, 78-81.

61